arXiv:1610.03306v1 [math.AC] 11 Oct 2016

Projective dimension and regularity of path ideals of cycles

Guangjun Zhu

Abstract. In this paper, we give a formula to compute all the top degree graded Betti numbers of the path ideals of a cycle. As a consequence we can give a formula to compute its projective dimension and regularity.

Keywords: Betti numbers; Projective dimension; Regularity; Path ideal; Cycles.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 13D02; 13F55; 13C15; 13D99.

§1. Introduction

In this paper, we study some path ideals of cycle graph, these ideals can be seen as generalization of path ideals for cycle, as studied in [1]. Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a directed graph on vertex set $V = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ with edge set E and let K be an arbitrary field. Consider the polynomial ring $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, where we identify the vertices of Γ with the variables of R, the path ideal of Γ of length m is the monomial ideal

$$J_m(\Gamma) = (\{x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m} \mid x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_m} \text{ is a path of length } m \text{ in } \Gamma\}) \subseteq K[x_1, \ldots, x_n].$$

Path ideals have been first introduced by Conca and De Negri [6] and they generalize arbitrary edge ideals of graphs [16]. Since then, path ideals have attracted the attention of a lot of researchers and they are fairly well-studied for special classes of graphs such as the line graph and the cycle [1; 2; 17] and also for rooted trees [3; 6; 11].

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11271275) and by Foundation of Jiangsu Overseas Research & Training Program for University Prominent Young & Middle-aged Teachers and Presidents and by Foundation of the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

Conca and Negri in [6] showed that path ideals of directed trees have normal and Cohen-Macaulay Rees rings. Restuccia and Villarreal in [15] showed that path ideals of complete bipartite graphs are normal, while path ideals of cycles are shown to have linear type in [4]. In [11], He and Tuyl study $J_m(\Gamma)$ in the special case that Γ is the line graph L_n. They provided an exact formula for the projective dimension of $J_m(L_n)$ in terms of m and n. They showed that:

Theorem 1.1 *(Theorem 4.1) Let p, m, n, d be integers such that $n = p(m+1)+d$, where $p \geq 0$, $0 \leq d \leq m$ and $2 \leq m \leq n$. Then the projective dimension of $J_m(L_n)$ is given by*

$$pd\,(J_m(L_n)) = \begin{cases} 2p-1 & \text{if } d \neq m \\ 2p & \text{if } d = m. \end{cases}$$

Using purely combinatorial arguments, Alilooee and Faridi in [2] also gave the above formula for projective dimension of $J_m(L_n)$. Furthermore, they provide an explicit formula for regularity of $J_m(L_n)$ in terms of m and n. They showed that:

Theorem 1.2 *(Corollary 4.14) Let p, m, n, d be integers such that $n = p(m+1)+d$, where $p \geq 0$, $0 \leq d \leq m$ and $2 \leq m \leq n$. Then the regularity of $J_m(L_n)$ is given by*

$$reg\,(J_m(L_n)) = \begin{cases} p(m-1)+1 & \text{if } d \neq m \\ p(m-1)+m & \text{if } d = m. \end{cases}$$

In [1], using homological methods, Alilooee and Faridi gave exact formulas for projective dimension and the regularity of $J_m(C_n)$ of the cycle graph C_n. They showed that:

Theorem 1.3 *(Corollary 5.5) Let p, m, n, d be integers such that $n = p(m+1)+d$, where $p \geq 0$, $0 \leq d \leq m$ and $2 \leq m \leq n$. Then*

(1) The projective dimension of the path ideal of the cycle graph C_n is given by

$$pd\,(J_m(C_n)) = \begin{cases} 2p & \text{if } d \neq 0 \\ 2p-1 & \text{if } d = 0. \end{cases}$$

(2) The regularity of the path ideal of the cycle graph C_n is given by

$$reg\,(J_m(C_n)) = \begin{cases} p(m-1)+d = n-2p & \text{if } d \neq 0 \\ p(m-1)+1 = n-2p+1 & \text{if } d = 0. \end{cases}$$

We generalize the notion of path ideal as the following: Let Γ be a directed graph, path ideal of Γ of length m is the monomial ideal generated by some paths of length m in Γ, i.e., $I_m(\Gamma) = (u_1, \ldots, u_k)$, where $u_1, \ldots, u_k$ are some paths of length m in Γ. When $u_1, \ldots, u_k$ are all paths of length m in Γ, $I_m(\Gamma) = J_m(\Gamma)$.

To the best of our knowledge, little is known about these ideals. It is, therefore, of interest to determine algebraic properties of the ideals $I_m(\Gamma)$. In [17], using the notion of Betti-splitting, Zhu study the path ideal $I_{m,l,k} = (u_1, \ldots, u_k)$ where $u_i = \prod_{j=1}^{m} x_{(i-1)l+j}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k$ and l is an integer such that $1 \leq l < m$. She provided some exact formulas for the projective dimension and regularity of $I_{m,l,k}$ in terms of m and n. She showed that:

Theorem 1.4 *(Theorem 3.5) Let k, l, m, n be integers such that $n = kl + (m - l)$ where $k \geq 1$, $m \geq 2$ and $l < \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil$, here $\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil$ denotes the smallest integer $\geq \frac{m}{2}$. Let $I_{m,l,k} = (u_1, \ldots, u_k)$ with $u_i = \prod_{j=1}^{m} x_{(i-1)l+j}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then $pd\,(I_{m,l,k}) = k - 1$, $reg\,(I_{m,l,k}) = (k-1)(l-1) + m$.*

Theorem 1.5 *(Theorem 3.7) Let k, l, m, n be integers such that $n = kl + (m - l)$ where $k \geq 1$, $m \geq 2$ and $\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil \leq l < m$. Let $I_{m,l,k} = (u_1, \ldots, u_k)$ with $u_i = \prod_{j=1}^{m} x_{(i-1)l+j}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k$. If $m \equiv 0\,(mod\ l)$ and we can write n as $n = p(m + l) + d$ where $0 \leq d < m + l$, then*

(1) $$pd\,(I_{m,l,k}) = \begin{cases} 2p - 1 & \text{if } d \neq m \\ 2p & \text{if } d = m. \end{cases}$$

(2) $$reg\,(I_{m,l,k}) = \begin{cases} p(m + l - 2) + 1 & \text{if } d \neq m \\ p(m + l - 2) + m & \text{if } d = m. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.6 *(Theorem 3.10) Let k, l, m, n be integers such that $n = kl + (m - l)$ where $k \geq 1$, $m \geq 2$ and $\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil \leq l < m$. Let $I_{m,l,k} = (u_1, \ldots, u_k)$ with $u_i = \prod_{j=1}^{m} x_{(i-1)l+j}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k$. If $m \equiv s\,(mod\ l)$ with $1 \leq s < l$ and we can write n as $n = p(m + l - s) + d$ where $0 \leq d < m + l - s$, then*

$$pd\,(I_{m,l,k}) = \begin{cases} 2p - 1 & d \neq m \\ 2p & d = m. \end{cases}$$

In this article we shall focus on the path ideals of an n-cycle graph C_n. By promoting Alilooee and Faradi's techniques, we generalize formulas for projective dimension and regularity of the path ideal of the cycle graph obtained in [1; 2]. we obtain that

Theorem 1.7 *(Corollary 5.4) Let l, m, n be positive integers such that $2 \leq m \leq n$, $l|n$ and $l \leq min\{m-1, \frac{n}{2}\}$, let $m \equiv s \ mod \ l$ with $0 \leq s < l$, $t = \frac{m-s}{l}$ and $k = \frac{n}{l}$. Suppose that $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n) = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_k \rangle$ is the path complex of the cycle C_n with standard labeling and $I_{m,l}(C_n) = \mathcal{I}(\Delta_{m,l}(C_n))$ is the facet ideal of $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n)$. We can write k as $k = p(t+1) + d$, where $p \geq 0$ and $0 \leq d \leq t$. Then*

(1) The projective dimension of $R/I_{m,l}(C_n)$ is given by

$$pd\,(R/I_{m,l}(C_n)) = \begin{cases} 2p, & \text{if } d = 0 \\ 2p+1, & \text{if } d \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

(2) The regularity of $R/I_{m,l}(C_n)$ is given by

$$reg\,(R/I_{m,l}(C_n)) = \begin{cases} n-2p, & \text{if } d = 0 \\ n-2p-1, & \text{if } d \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some notation and basic algebraic and combinatorial concepts used in other next chapters. In Section 3, we study the connected components of path ideals which will provide us with the key to our homological computations later in Section 4. Section 5 is where we apply the homological results of Section 4 along to give a criterion to determine all nonzero Betti numbers and projective dimension and regularity of path ideals of cycles. While working on this paper the computer algebra systems CoCoA [5] and Macaulay2 [9] were used to test examples. We acknowledge the immense help that they have provided us in this project.

§2. Preliminaries

In this section, we provide some background and fix some notation, which will be used throughout this article. However, for more details we refer the reader to [7; 8; 12; 14].

Definition 2.1 A simplicial complex Δ on vertex set V is a collection of subsets of V with the property that if $F \in \Delta$ then all subsets of F are also in Δ. The elements of Δ are called faces and the maximal faces under inclusion are called facets. If $F_1, \ldots, F_q$ is a complete list of the facets of Δ, we call $\{F_1, \ldots, F_q\}$, denoted by $F(\Delta)$, the facet set of Δ and usually write Δ as $\Delta = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_q \rangle$. The vertex set of Δ is denoted by $V(\Delta)$. A subcollection of Δ is a simplicial complex whose facets are also facets of Δ. For $U \subseteq V(\Delta)$, an induced subcollection of Δ on U, denoted by Δ_U, is the simplicial complex whose facet set is $\{F \in F(\Delta) \mid F \subseteq U\}$ and vertex set is a subset of U.

If F is a face of $\Delta = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_q \rangle$, we define the complement of F in Δ to be

$$F_V^c = V \setminus F \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_V^c = \langle (F_1)_V^c, \ldots, (F_q)_V^c \rangle.$$

Note that if $U \subsetneq V(\Delta)$, we have that $\Delta_U^c = (\Delta_U)_U^c$.

To a squarefree monomial ideal I in a polynomial ring $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ over a field K, one can associate two unique simplicial complexes $\Delta(I)$ and $\mathcal{N}(I)$ on the vertex set labeled $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Conversely given a simplicial complex Δ with vertices set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$, one can associate two unique squarefree monomials $\mathcal{I}(\Delta)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\Delta)$ in the polynomial ring $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$; these are all defined below

Facet complex of I	$\Delta(I) = \langle \{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_s}\} \mid \prod_{j=1}^{s} x_{i_j}$ minimal generator of $I \rangle$,
Stanley-Reisner complex of I	$\mathcal{N}(I) = \langle \{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_s}\} \mid \prod_{j=1}^{s} x_{i_j} \notin I \rangle$,
Facet ideal of Δ	$\mathcal{I}(\Delta) = (\prod_{j=1}^{s} x_{i_j} \mid \{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_s}\} \in F(\Delta))$,
Stanley-Reisner ideal of Δ	$\mathcal{N}(\Delta) = (\prod_{j=1}^{s} x_{i_j} \mid \{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_s}\} \notin \Delta)$.

Note that there is a one-to-one correspondence between monomial ideals and simplicial complexes via each of these methods.

For any homogeneous ideal I in the polynomial ring $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, there exists a graded minimal free resolution of R/I

$$0 \to \bigoplus_j R(-j)^{\beta_{p,j}} \to \bigoplus_j R(-j)^{\beta_{p-1,j}} \to \cdots \to \bigoplus_j R(-j)^{\beta_{1,j}} \to R \to R/I \to 0,$$

where the maps are exact, $p \leq n$, and $R(-j)$ is the graded free module obtained by shifting the degrees of R by j. The number $\beta_{i,j}$, the (i,j)-th graded Betti number of R/I, is an invariant of R/I that equals the number of minimal generators of degree

j in the ith syzygy module of R/I. Of particular interest are the following invariants which measure the size of the minimal graded free resolution of R/I. The projective dimension of R/I, denoted $pd\,(R/I)$, is defined to be

$$pd\,(R/I) := \max\{i \mid \beta_{i,j} \neq 0\}.$$

The regularity of I, denoted $reg\,(R/I)$, is defined by

$$reg\,(R/I) := \max\{j - i \mid \beta_{i,j} \neq 0\}.$$

Let Δ be a simplicial complex, we denote by $\mathcal{C}.(\Delta)$ the reduced chain complex and by $\widetilde{H}_i(\Delta) = Z_i(\Delta)/B_i(\Delta)$ the i-th reduced homology groups of Δ with coefficients in the field K. For computing the graded Betti numbers of the Stanley-Reisner ring of a simplicial complex we use the following equivalent form of Hochster's formula.

Theorem 2.2 *([1, Theorem 2.8]) Let I be a pure squarefree monomial ideal in the polynomial ring $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Then the graded Betti numbers of R/I are given by*

$$\beta_{i,j}(R/I) = \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \subseteq \Delta(I), \\ |V(\Gamma)| = j}} dim_K \widetilde{H}_{i-2}(\Gamma^c_{V(\Gamma)}).$$

For the computation of the homology of certain subcomplexes as they appear in the Hochster formula, we will repeatedly apply the following Mayer-Vietoris sequence.

Theorem 2.3 *([14, Theorem 25.1]) Let Δ be a simplicial complex, Δ_1 and Δ_2 subcomplexes of Δ such that $\Delta = \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$. Then there is a long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \to \widetilde{H}_i(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) \to \widetilde{H}_i(\Delta_1) \oplus \widetilde{H}_i(\Delta_2) \to \widetilde{H}_i(\Delta) \to \widetilde{H}_{i-1}(\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2) \to \cdots$$

§3. Path ideals $I_{m,l}(C_n)$ of a cycle graph C_n

In this section, we will focus on structures of path ideals of a cycle graph C_n.

Definition 3.1 Let Γ be a directed graph, path ideal of Γ of length m is the monomial ideal generated by some paths of length m in Γ, i.e.,

$$I_m(\Gamma) = (u_1, \ldots, u_k), \text{ where } u_1, \ldots, u_k \text{ are some paths of length } m \text{ in } \Gamma.$$

Note that if $u_1, \ldots, u_k$ are all paths of length m in Γ, $I_m(\Gamma) = J_m(\Gamma)$, Futher, if $m = 2$, then $I_m(\Gamma)$ is the edge ideal of graph Γ.

In this paper, we consider the special case that Γ is an n-cycle graph C_n, $u_i = \prod_{j=1}^{m} x_{(i-1)l+j}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k$ and k, l are positive integers such that $l < m$ and $k = \frac{n}{(l,n)}$, we denote this path ideal by $I_{m,l}(C_n)$.

Example 3.2 If C_4 is a cycle with vertex set $V = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$, then we have $I_{3,2}(C_4) = (x_1x_2x_3, x_3x_4x_1)$. If C_6 is a cycle with vertex set $V = \{x_1, \ldots, x_6\}$, then we have $I_{3,2}(C_6) = (x_1x_2x_3, x_3x_4x_5, x_5x_6x_1)$ and $I_{5,3}(C_6) = (x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5, x_4x_5x_6x_1x_2)$.

Note 3.3 Suppose that l, l_1, m, n are positive integers such that $l, l_1 < m \leq n$. If $(l, n) = (l_1, n)$, we have that $I_{m,l}(C_n) = I_{m,l_1}(C_n)$. In particular, we have that $I_{m,n-l}(C_n) = I_{m,l}(C_n)$. Hence we can suppose that l is a divisor of n such that $l \leq min\{m-1, \frac{n}{2}\}$.

Example 3.4 Let C_{12} be a cycle with vertex set $V = \{x_1, \ldots, x_{12}\}$, then we have $I_{11,1}(C_{12}) = I_{11,5}(C_{12}) = I_{11,7}(C_{12}) = (\prod_{j=1}^{11} x_j, \ldots, \prod_{j=1}^{11} x_{(i-1)+j}, \ldots, \prod_{j=1}^{11} x_{11+j})$,
$I_{11,2}(C_{12}) = I_{11,10}(C_{12}) = (\prod_{j=1}^{11} x_j, \prod_{j=1}^{11} x_{2+j}, \prod_{j=1}^{11} x_{4+j}, \prod_{j=1}^{11} x_{6+j}, \prod_{j=1}^{11} x_{8+j}, \prod_{j=1}^{11} x_{10+j})$,
$I_{11,3}(C_{12}) = I_{11,9}(C_{12}) = (\prod_{j=1}^{11} x_j, \prod_{j=1}^{11} x_{3+j}, \prod_{j=1}^{11} x_{6+j}, \prod_{j=1}^{11} x_{9+j})$, $I_{11,4}(C_{12}) = I_{11,8}(C_{12}) = (\prod_{j=1}^{11} x_j, \prod_{j=1}^{11} x_{4+j}, \prod_{j=1}^{11} x_{8+j})$, $I_{11,6}(C_{12}) = (\prod_{j=1}^{11} x_j, \prod_{j=1}^{11} x_{6+j})$, where we set $x_a = x_i$ when $a \equiv i \bmod n$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$.

Throughout this paper, we will suppose that l, m, n are positive integers such that $2 \leq m \leq n$ and $l \leq min\{m-1, \frac{n}{2}\}$ is a divisor of n. We also set $x_j = x_i$ when $j \equiv i \bmod n$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$.

Note 3.5 Let C_n be an n-cycle graph, l, m positive integers such that $2 \leq m \leq n$, $l|n$ and $l \leq min\{m-1, \frac{n}{2}\}$ and let $k = \frac{n}{(l,n)} = \frac{n}{l}$. We use the notation $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n) = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_k \rangle$ to denote the path complex, i.e., $F_i = \{x_{(i-1)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(i-1)l+m}\}$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$, is the facet of $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n)$, when $j \equiv i \bmod n$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$, we set $x_j = x_i$. This labeling is called the standard labeling of $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n)$.

For any $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have $F_{i+1} \setminus F_i = \{x_{il+(m-l)+1}, \ldots, x_{il+m}\}$ and $F_i \setminus F_{i+1} = \{x_{(i-1)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(i-1)l+l}\}$, it follows that $|F_i \setminus F_{i+1}| = |F_{i+1} \setminus F_i| = l$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$.

It is obvious that any induced subgraph of a cycle graph is a disjoint union of some paths. Borrowing the terminology from Jacques [13], we call the path complex of a line a "run".

Definition 3.6 Given two positive integers l, m such that $l < m$, we define a run to be the path complex of a line graph. A run with p facets is called a run of length p and corresponds to $\Delta_{m,l}(L_{pl+(m-l)})$. Therefore a run of length p has $(p-1)l+m$ vertices.

Set $supp(F_i) = \{x_j : x_j \in F_i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{(l,n)}$. By similar arguments as in [1, Proposition 3.6], we can obtain that every proper induced subcollection of the path complex of a cycle is a disjoint union of runs.

Remark. Let C_n be an n-cycle graph, l, m positive integers such that $2 \leq m \leq n$, $l|n$ and $l \leq min\{m-1, \frac{n}{2}\}$. Let Γ be a proper induced connected subcollection of $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n)$ on $U \subsetneq X$. Then Γ is the line graph with the facet set $\{F_i : F_i \in \Delta_{m,l}(C_n) \text{ and } supp(F_i) \subseteq U\}$.

If Γ and Λ are two induced subcollections of $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n)$ composed of runs with equal lengths, one can easily see that Γ and Λ are homeomorphic as simplicial complexes by a bijective map between their vertex sets. In particular, the simplicial complexes $\Gamma^c_{V(\Gamma)}$ and $\Lambda^c_{V(\Lambda)}$ are homeomorphic and have the same reduced homologies. Therefore, using Theorem 2.2 and Remark §3., all the information we need to compute the Betti numbers of $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n)$, or equivalently the homologies of induced subcollections of $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n)$, depend on the number and the lengths of the runs.

Definition 3.7 Let d be a positive integer, a pure d-dimensional simplicial complex $\Gamma = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_s \rangle$ be a disjoint union of runs of length $s_1, \ldots, s_r$. Then the sequence of positive integers $s_1, \ldots, s_r$ is called a run sequence on $\mathcal{Y} = V(\Gamma)$, and we use the notation

$$E(s_1, \ldots, s_r) = \Gamma^c_{\mathcal{Y}} = \langle (F_1)^c_{\mathcal{Y}}, \ldots, (F_s)^c_{\mathcal{Y}} \rangle.$$

§4. Reduced homologies for Betti numbers

Let l, m, n be positive integers such that $2 \leq m < n$, $l|n$ and $l \leq min\{m-1, \frac{n}{2}\}$, let $I = I_{m,l}(C_n)$ be the path ideal of the the cycle C_n. By applying Theorem 2.2,

we see that to compute the Betti numbers of R/I, we need to compute the reduced homologies of complements of induced subcollections of $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n)$ which are disjoint unions of runs by Remark §3.. In this section, we are devote to complex homological calculations. The results will allow us to compute all Betti numbers of R/I in the sections that follow. Firstly, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 *([1, Lemma 4.1]). Let $F_1, \ldots, F_k$ be subsets of a finite set V, where $k \geq 2$. Suppose that $\mathcal{E} = \langle (F_1)_V^c, \ldots, (F_k)_V^c \rangle$. Then for any i we have*

(1) Suppose $V \setminus \bigcup_{j=2}^{k} F_j \neq \emptyset$. If $\mathcal{E}_1 = \langle (F_1)_V^c \rangle$ and $\mathcal{E}_2 = \langle (F_2)_V^c, \ldots, (F_k)_V^c \rangle$, then

$$\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) = \widetilde{H}_{i-1}(\langle (F_2)_{(V\setminus F_1)}^c, \ldots, (F_k)_{(V\setminus F_1)}^c \rangle).$$

(2) If $F_a \subset F_b$ for some $a \neq b$, then $\mathcal{E} = \langle (F_1)_V^c, \ldots, (\widehat{F_b})_V^c, \ldots, (F_k)_V^c \rangle$.

The decomposition $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_1 \cup \mathcal{E}_2$ which satisfies conditions above is called standard decomposition of $\mathcal{E}$.

Using the above lemma, we can obtain that

Theorem 4.2 *Let l, m, n be positive integers such that $2 \leq m \leq n$, $l|n$ and $l \leq min\{m-1, \frac{n}{2}\}$, let $m \equiv s \ mod \ l$ with $0 \leq s < l$, $t = \frac{m-s}{l} = 1$ and $k = \frac{n}{l}$. Let $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n) = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_k \rangle$ the path complex on the vertex set $V = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ with standard labeling. Suppose the connected components of $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n)$ are runs of lengths $s_1, \ldots, s_r$, and $\mathcal{E} = E(s_1, \ldots, s_r)$. Then for all i, we have*

(1)

$$\widetilde{H}_i((\Delta_{m,l}(C_n))_V^c) = \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } i = k-2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2)

$$\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) = \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } i = \sum_{j=1}^{r} s_j - 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. (1) can be shown by similar arguments as (2), so we only prove (2).

According to Lemma 4.1, it is enough to show that this result is true for $r = 1$. There are two possible cases:

If $s_1 = 1$, then $\mathcal{E} = \langle (F_1)_V^c \rangle = \{\emptyset\}$, and therefore

$$\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) = \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } i = -1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $s_1 \geq 2$, by repeated use of Lemma 4.1, we get

$$\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) = \widetilde{H}_{i-(s_1-1)}(\{\emptyset\}) = \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } i = s_1 - 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The result follows. □

Proposition 4.3 *Let l, m, n be positive integers such that $2 \leq m \leq n$, $l|n$ and $l \leq min\{m-1, \frac{n}{2}\}$, let $m \equiv s \ mod \ l$ with $0 \leq s < l$, $t = \frac{m-s}{l} \geq 2$ and $k = \frac{n}{l}$. Let $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n) = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_k \rangle$ be the path complex on the vertex set $V = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ with standard labeling. Suppose the connected components of $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n)$ are runs of lengths $s_1, \ldots, s_r$, and $\mathcal{E} = E(s_1, \ldots, s_r)$. Let $s_j = p_j(t+1) + d_j$ where $p_j \geq 0$, $0 \leq d_j \leq t$ and $1 \leq j \leq r$. Then for all i, we have the following conditions:*

(1) If $s_j \geq t+2$, then $\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) \cong \widetilde{H}_{i-2p_j}(\langle (F_1)^c_W, \ldots, (F_{s_{j-1}})^c_W, (F_{p_j(t+1)+1})^c_W, \ldots, (F_{p_j(t+1)+d_j})^c_W, (F_{p_j(t+1)+d_j+1})^c_W, \ldots, (F_{s_{j+1}})^c_W, \ldots, (F_k)^c_W \rangle)$, where $W = V \setminus A$ and A is the vertex set of subcollection of the simplicial complex with run of length s_j, which composed of the front $[(p_j - 1)(t+1) + 1]$ facets;

(2) If $s_j = 2$ and $r \geq 2$, then $\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) = \widetilde{H}_{i-2}(\langle (F_1)^c_W, \ldots, (F_{s_{j-1}})^c_W, (F_{s_{j+1}})^c_W, \ldots, (F_k)^c_W \rangle)$, where W is the vertex set of simplicial complex $\langle F_1, \ldots, F_{s_{j-1}}, F_{s_{j+1}}, \ldots, F_k \rangle$;

(3) If $s_j = 1$ and $r \geq 2$, then $\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) = \widetilde{H}_{i-1}(\langle (F_1)^c_W, \ldots, (F_{s_{j-1}})^c_W, (F_{s_{j+1}})^c_W, \ldots, (F_k)^c_W \rangle)$, where W is the vertex set of simplicial complex $\langle F_1, \ldots, F_{s_{j-1}}, F_{s_{j+1}}, \ldots, F_k \rangle$;

(4) If $d_j \neq 1, 2$, then $\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) = 0$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $F_1, \ldots, F_k$ are ordered such that $F_1, \ldots, F_{s_j}$ are the facets of the run of length s_j and they have standard labeling $F_i = \{x_{(i-1)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(i-1)l+m}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s_j$. We have $\mathcal{E} = \langle (F_1)^c_V, \ldots, (F_k)^c_V \rangle$. Since $x_1, \ldots, x_l \in V \setminus \bigcup_{j=2}^{k} F_j$, there is a standard decomposition

$$\mathcal{E} = \langle (F_1)^c_V \rangle \cup \langle (F_2)^c_V, \ldots, (F_k)^c_V \rangle.$$

From Lemma 4.1 (1), setting $V' = V \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\} = \{x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n\}$, we have that

$$\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) \cong \widetilde{H}_{i-1}(\langle (F_2)^c_{V'}, \ldots, (F_k)^c_{V'} \rangle). \tag{4.1}$$

If $s_j \geq t+2$, from (4.1), we have

$$\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) \cong \widetilde{H}_{i-1}(\langle \{x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_{m+l}\}^c_{V'}, (F_{t+2})^c_{V'}, \ldots, (F_k)^c_{V'} \rangle) \tag{4.2}$$

and since $x_{m+1} \in V' \setminus \bigcup_{j=t+2}^{k} F_j$, there is a standard decomposition

$$\langle \{x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_{m+l}\}^c_{V'} \rangle \cup \langle (F_{t+2})^c_{V'}, \ldots, (F_{s_j})^c_{V'}, \ldots, (F_k)^c_{V'} \rangle.$$

From (4.2) and Lemma 4.1 (1), with $V'' = V \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_{m+l}\} = \{x_{m+l+1}, \ldots, x_n\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) &\cong \widetilde{H}_{i-2}(\langle (F_{t+2})^c_{V''}, \ldots, (F_{s_j})^c_{V''}, \ldots, (F_k)^c_{V''}\rangle) \\ &= \widetilde{H}_{i-2}(\langle \{x_{m+l+1}, \ldots, x_{m+(t+1)l}\}^c_{V''}, (F_{t+3})^c_{V''}, \ldots, (F_{s_j})^c_{V''}, \ldots, (F_k)^c_{V''}\rangle).\end{aligned}$$

Again using the above arguments $(p_j - 1)$ times to s_j, we can conclude that

$$\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) \cong \widetilde{H}_{i-2p_j}(\langle (F_{p_j(t+1)+1})^c_W, \ldots, (F_{p_j(t+1)+d_j})^c_W, (F_{s_{j+1}})^c_W, \ldots, (F_k)^c_W\rangle)$$

where $W = V \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_{(p_j-1)(t+1)l+m}\}$. This settles Case (1) of the proposition. Now suppose $1 \leq s_j < t+2$. In this case by (4.1) and Lemma 4.1, we get that

$$\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) \cong \widetilde{H}_{i-1}(\langle \{x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_{m+l}\}^c_{V'}, (F_{s_j+1})^c_{V'}, \ldots, (F_k)^c_{V'}\rangle) \quad \textit{for all} \quad i. \tag{4.3}$$

(a) If $s_j \geq 3$, since $x_{(s_j-1)l+(m-l)+1}, \ldots, x_{(s_j-1)l+m} \in V' \setminus (\bigcup_{i=s_{j+1}}^{k} F_j \cup \{x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_{m+l}\})$, the simplicial complex $\langle \{x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_{m+l}\}^c_{V'}, (F_{s_j+1})^c_{V'}, \ldots, (F_k)^c_{V'}\rangle$ is a cone, by Proposition 2.7 of [1] and (4.3), we have $\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ for all i.

(b) If $s_j = 2$ and $r \geq 2$, then $x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_{m+l} \in V' \setminus (\bigcup_{i=s_{j+1}}^{k} F_j)$, we have that

$$\langle \{x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_{m+l}\}^c_{V'}\rangle \cup \langle (F_{s_{j+1}})^c_{V'}, \ldots, (F_k)^c_{V'}\rangle$$

is a standard decomposition. Thus by Lemma 4.1 and (4.3), we have that

$$\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) \cong \widetilde{H}_{i-2}(\langle (F_{s_{j+1}})^c_{V''}, \ldots, (F_k)^c_{V''}\rangle) \text{ for all } i.$$

V'' is the vertex set of simplicial complex $\langle F_{s_{j+1}}, \ldots, F_k\rangle$. This settles Case (2).

(c) If $s_j = 1$ and $r \geq 2$, since $F_1 \cap F_h = \emptyset$ for $1 < h \leq k$, and from (4.1) we obtain that for all i, $\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) \cong \widetilde{H}_{i-1}(\langle (F_{s_{j+1}})^c_{V'}, \ldots, (F_k)^c_{V'}\rangle)$ where $V' = V \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_m\} = \{x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n\}$ is the vertex set of simplicial complex $\langle F_{s_{j+1}}, \ldots, F_k\rangle$. This settles Case (3).

Now we prove Case (4) by induction on p_j. If $p_j = 0$, then $d_j = s_j \geq 1$. From above we know that $\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ if $s_j \geq 3$, and we are done. Now we can suppose $p_j \geq 1$. there are two possible cases:

(i) If $s_j < t+2$, then since $p_j \geq 1$, we must have $p_j = 1$, $d_j = 0$ and $s_j = t+1$. It was proved above (under the case $s_j \geq 3$) that $\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) = 0$.

(ii) If $s_j \geq t+2$, by Case (1) we have

$$\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) \cong \widetilde{H}_{i-2p_j}(\langle (F_{p_j(t+1)+1})^c_W, \ldots, (F_{p_j(t+1)+d_j})^c_W, (F_{s_{j+1}})^c_W, \ldots, (F_k)^c_W\rangle).$$

From the above equality and the case $p_j = 0$, we know that $\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ for $d_j \neq 1, 2$. This proves (4) and we complete the proof. □

The following proposition can be shown by similar arguments as in [1, Proposition 4.3], we omit its proof

Proposition 4.4 *Let l, m, n, k, t be integers as in Proposition 4.3, let $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n) = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_k \rangle$ be the path complex on the vertex set $V = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ with standard labeling. Suppose that $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ are integers and the connected components of $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n)$ are runs of lengths $p_1(t+1)+1, \ldots, p_\alpha(t+1)+1$, $q_1(t+1)+2, \ldots, q_\beta(t+1)+2$, where $p_i, q_j \geq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq \alpha, 1 \leq j \leq \beta$. Then*

$$\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) = \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } i = 2(P+Q) + 2\beta + \alpha - 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{E} = E(p_1(t+1)+1, \ldots, p_\alpha(t+1)+1, q_1(t+1)+2, \ldots, q_\beta(t+1)+2)$, $P = \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} p_i$ and $Q = \sum_{j=1}^{\beta} q_j$.

As a consequence of Propositions 4.3 and 4.4, we obtain the following result:

Corollary 4.5 *Let l, m, n, t be integers as in Proposition 4.3. Suppose that the simplicial complex $\Gamma = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_{p(t+1)+d} \rangle$ of dimension $(m-1)$ is a run of length $p(t+1)+d$ with standard labeling. Let $\mathcal{E} = \langle (F_1)_V^c, \ldots, (F_{p(t+1)+d})_V^c \rangle$. Then*

$$\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) = \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } d = 1, i = 2p - 1 \\ K, & \text{if } d = 2, i = 2p \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.3 (4), if $d \neq 1, 2$ the homology is zero. In the cases where $d = 1, 2$ the result follows directly from Proposition 4.4. □

Before we prove the main results of this section, we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.6 *Let l, m, n, k, s, t be integers as in Proposition 4.3. Suppose that $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n) = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_k \rangle$ is the path complex of the cycle C_n with standard labeling. Let $b, c, e \in [n]$ be such that $c \leq t-1$ and $b + e + t - 1 < k$. Suppose $e = p(t+1) + d$, where $p \geq 0$, $0 \leq d \leq t$. Set $V = \{x_{(b-1)l+f+1}, \ldots, x_{(b+e+t-1)l}\}$ where $0 \leq f < l$ and*

$\mathcal{E} = \langle (F_b \setminus \{x_{(b-1)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(b-1)l+f}\})_V^c, \ldots, (F_{b+e-1})_V^c, \{x_{(b+e+t-c-1)l+1}, x_{(b+e+t-c-1)l+2}, \ldots, x_{(b+e+t-1)l}\}_V^c \rangle$. *Then for all i we have*

$$\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) = \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } d = 1, i = 2p \\ K, & \text{if } d = c+1, i = 2p+1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume $b = 1$ so that $V = \{x_{f+1}, \ldots, x_{(e+t)l}\}$ and $\mathcal{E} = \langle (F_1 \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_f\})_V^c, \ldots, (F_e)_V^c, \{x_{(e+t-c)l+1}, x_{(e+t-c)l+2}, \ldots, x_{(e+t)l}\}_V^c \rangle$. Since $x_{(e+t)l} \notin F_h$ for $1 \leq h \leq e$, $\mathcal{E}$ has standard decomposition

$$\mathcal{E} = \langle (F_1 \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_f\})_V^c, \ldots, (F_e)_V^c \rangle \cup \langle \{x_{(e+t-c)l+1}, x_{(e+t-c)l+2}, \ldots, x_{(e+t)l}\}_V^c \rangle.$$

Set $V_1 = V \setminus \{x_{(e+t-c)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(e+t)l}\}$, by Lemma 4.1 and the fact that $\{x_{(e-c)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(e+t-c)l}\} \supset \{x_{(e-c+1)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(e+t-c)l}\} \supset \cdots \supset \{x_{(e-1)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(e+t-c)l}\}$, we obtain that

$$\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) \cong \widetilde{H}_{i-1}((F_1 \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_f\})_{V_1}^c, \ldots, (F_{e-c})_{V_1}^c, \{x_{(e-1)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(e+t-c)l}\}_{V_1}^c). \tag{4.4}$$

We prove our statement by induction on $|V| = (e+t)l - f = [p(t+1) + d + t]l - f$. The base case is $|V| = (d+t)l - f$, in which case $p = 0$, and $e = d \geq 1$. There are two cases to be considered:

(1) If $1 \leq d \leq c$, then $e \leq c$, and so by (4.4)

$$\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) \cong \widetilde{H}_{i-1}(\{x_{(e-1)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(e+t-c)l}\}_{V_1}^c)$$

In this situation, the simplex $\{x_{(e-1)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(e+t-c)l}\}_{V_1}^c = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow (e-1)l + 1 \leq f + 1 \Leftrightarrow d = e = 1$, and hence we have

$$\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) = \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } d = 1, i = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2) If $d > c$, we use (4.4) to note that since $x_{(e+t-c)l} \notin (F_1 \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_f\}) \cup \cdots \cup F_{e-c}$, we get that $\langle (F_1 \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_f\})_{V_1}^c, \ldots, (F_{e-c})_{V_1}^c \rangle \cup \langle \{x_{(e-1)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(e+t-c)l}\}_{V_1}^c \rangle$ is a standard decomposition. By Lemma 4.1 and (4.4) along with the fact that $e = d \leq t$, we find that if $V_2 = V_1 \setminus \{x_{(e-1)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(e+t-c)l}\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) &\cong \widetilde{H}_{i-2}(\langle \{x_{f+1}, \ldots, x_{(e-1)l}\}_{V_2}^c, (F_2)_{V_2}^c, \ldots, \{x_{(e-c-1)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(e-1)l}\}_{V_2}^c \rangle) \\ &\cong \widetilde{H}_{i-2}(\langle \{x_{(e-c-1)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(e-1)l}\}_{V_2}^c \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

In this case, the simplex $\{x_{(e-c-1)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(e-1)l}\}^c_{V_2} = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow (e-c-1)l+1 \leq f+1 \Leftrightarrow d = e = c+1$. Therefore

$$\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) = \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } d = c+1, i = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This settles the base case of the induction. Now suppose $|V| = (e+t)l - f > (d+t)l - f$ and the theorem holds for all the cases where $|V| < (e+t)l - f$. Since $|V_1| = (e+t-c)l - f < |V|$, we use (4.4) and the induction hypothesis on V_1, now with the following parameters: $c_1 = t-c+1$, $e_1 = e-c = p(t+1)+d-c$ and

$$d_1 = \begin{cases} d-c, & \text{if } d \geq c, \\ d-c+t+1, & \text{if } d < c \end{cases} \text{ and } \; p_1 = \begin{cases} p, & \text{if } d \geq c, \\ p-1, & \text{if } d < c \end{cases}$$

Applying the induction hypothesis on V_1, we see that $\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) = 0$, unless one of the following cases happen, in which case $\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) = K$.

1. $d_1 = 1$, and $i - 1 = 2p_1$.

(a) When $d \geq c$, this means that $d = c+1$ and $i = 2p+1$.

(b) When $d < c$, this means $d = c - t \geq 0$, which is not possible as we have assumed $c \leq t-1$.

2. $d_1 = c_1 + 1$, and $i - 1 = 2p_1 + 1$.

(a) When $d \geq c$, this means that $d - c = d_1 = c_1 + 1$, and so $d = c + c_1 + 1 = c + (t-c+1) + 1 = t+2$ which is not possible, as we have assumed $d \leq t$.

(b) When $d < c$, this means that $d - c + t + 1 = d_1 = c_1 + 1 = t - c + 1 + 1$, and so $d = 1$ and $i = 2p_1 + 2 = 2p$.

We conclude that $\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) = K$ only when $d = 1$ and $i = 2p$, or $d = c+1$ and $i = 2p+1$, and $\widetilde{H}_i(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ otherwise. □

Lemma 4.7 *Let l, m, n, k, t be integers as in Proposition 4.3. Suppose that $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n) = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_k \rangle$ is the path complex of the cycle C_n on vertex set $V = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ with standard labeling. We can write k as $k = p(t+1)+d$, where $p \geq 1$, $0 \leq d \leq t$. Consider the following simplicial complexes:*

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= \langle (F_1)^c_V, (F_2)^c_V \ldots, (F_{k-t+1})^c_V \rangle = E(k-t+1), \\ E_a &= E_{a-1} \cup \langle (F_{k-a+1})^c_V \rangle \;\; \textit{for} \;\; 1 \leq a \leq t-1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Then for all $0 \leq a \leq t-2$, we have

$$\widetilde{H}_i(E_a \cap \langle (F_{k-a})^c_V \rangle) = \begin{cases} K, & \textit{if } d = 0, i = 2p-3 \\ K, & \textit{if } d = t-a-1, i = 2p-2 \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Setting $V' = V \setminus F_{k-a} = \{x_{(k-a-1)l+m+1-n}, x_{(k-a-1)l+m+2-n}, \ldots, x_{(k-a-1)l}\}$, where if $j \equiv i \bmod n$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$, we set $x_j = x_i$. We can write

$$E_a \cap \langle (F_{k-a})_V^c \rangle = \langle (F_1)_{V'}^c, \ldots, (F_{k-t+1})_{V'}^c, (F_{k-a+1})_{V'}^c, \ldots, (F_k)_{V'}^c \rangle.$$

We now compute the $(F_h)_{V'}^c = \{x_{(h-1)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(h-1)l+m}\}_{V'}^c$ appearing above.

(1) If h is chosen as $k-a+1, \ldots, k, 1, \ldots, t-a$, then we have $(F_{k-a+1})_{V'}^c \supset \cdots \supset (F_k)_{V'}^c \supset (F_1)_{V'}^c \supset \cdots \supset (F_{t-a})_{V'}^c$ and $(F_{k-a+1})_{V'}^c = \{x_{(k-a-1)l+m+1-n}, \ldots, x_{(k-a)l+m-n}\}_{V'}^c$.

(2)If h is chosen as $k-t-a, \ldots, k-t+1$, then we have $(F_{k-t-a})_{V'}^c \subset \cdots \subset (F_{k-t+1})_{V'}^c$ and $(F_{k-t+1})_{V'}^c = \{x_{(k-t)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(k-a-1)l}\}_{V'}^c$.

(3) If $t-a+1 \leq h \leq k-t-a-1$, then

$$(F_h)_{V'}^c = \{x_{(k-a-1)l+m+1-n}, \ldots, x_{(h-1)l}, x_{(h-1)l+m+1}, \ldots, x_{(k-a-1)l}\}.$$

From the above observations, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} E_a \cap \langle (F_{k-a})_V^c \rangle \;=\; \langle \{x_{(k-a-1)l+m+1-n}, \ldots, x_{(k-a)l+m-n}\}, F_{t-a+1}, \ldots, F_{k-t-a-1}, \\ \{x_{(k-t)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(k-a-1)l}\} \rangle_{V'}^c. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

We now consider the following scenarios.

Suppose $p = 1$. In this situation, $k = (t+1) + d \leq 2t+1$ which implies that $k-t-a-1 \leq t-a$. Hence (4.6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} E_a \cap \langle (F_{k-a})_V^c \rangle = \langle \{x_{(k-a-1)l+m+1-n}, \ldots, x_{(k-a)l+m-n}\}, \{x_{(k-t)l+1}, \ldots, \\ x_{(k-a-1)l}\} \rangle_{V'}^c. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Set $A = (k-a-1)l + m + 1 - n$, $B = (k-a)l + m - n$, $C = (k-t)l + 1$ and $D = (k-a-1)l$. By the assumption that $m = tl + s$ and $n = kl = [(t+1)+d]l$, we can obtain that $B - D = (k-a)l + m - n - (k-a-1)l = s - dl$ and $A - C = (k-a-1)l + m + 1 - n - [(k-t)l + 1] = (t-a-2-d)l + s$.

(a) If $d \leq t-a-2$, then $A \geq C$. We consider the following two cases:

(i) If $s \leq dl$, then $B \leq D$. Hence $\{x_{(k-a-1)l+m+1-n}, \ldots, x_{(k-a)l+m-n}\} \subseteq \{x_{(k-t-1)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(k-a-1)l}\}$, which means that (4.7) becomes

$$E_a \cap \langle (F_{k-a})_V^c \rangle = \langle \{x_{(k-a-1)l+m+1-n}, \ldots, x_{(k-a)l+m-n}\} \rangle_{V'}^c.$$

Also note that the simplex $\{x_{(k-a-1)l+m+1-n}, \ldots, x_{(k-a)l+m-n}\}_{V'}^c = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow (k-a)l + m - n \geq (k-a-1)l \Leftrightarrow (t+1)l + dl \leq tl + l + s \Leftrightarrow d = s = 0$. It follows that

$$\widetilde{H}_i(E_a \cap \langle (F_{k-a})_V^c \rangle) \cong \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } d = s = 0, i = -1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) If $s > dl$, from the fact that $s < l$, we can obtain that $d = 0$, $s > 0$, $l \geq 2$ and $B > D$. Therefore $A - C = (t-a-2-d)l + s = (t-a-2)l + s > 0$, $A-D = (k-a-1)l+m+1-n-[(k-a-1)l] = m+1-n = s+1-l \leq 0$. We can apply Lemma 4.1,with $V'' = V' \setminus \{x_{(k-t)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(k-a-1)l}\} = \{x_{(k-a-1)l+m+1-n}, \ldots, x_{(k-t)l}\}$ to find that for all i

$$\widetilde{H}_i(E_a \cap \langle (F_{k-a})^c_V \rangle) = \widetilde{H}_{i-1}(\langle \{x_{(k-a-1)l+m+1-n}, \ldots, x_{(k-a)l+m-n}\} \rangle^c_{V''}).$$

In this case, $\langle \{x_{(k-a-1)l+m+1-n}, \ldots, x_{(k-a)l+m-n}\} \rangle^c_{V''} = \emptyset$, it implies that

$$\widetilde{H}_i(E_a \cap \langle (F_{k-a})^c_V \rangle) \cong \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } i = -1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, when $d \leq t-a-2$, we have

$$\widetilde{H}_i(E_a \cap \langle (F_{k-a})^c_V \rangle) \cong \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } d = 0, i = -1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(b) If $d = t-a-1$, then $A - C = (t-a-2-d)l + s < 0$ and $B - D = s - dl < 0$. Again using Lemma 4.1, with $V'' = V' \setminus \{x_{(k-t)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(k-a-1)l}\} = \{x_{(k-a-1)l+m+1-n}, \ldots, x_{(k-t)l}\}$, one has

$$\widetilde{H}_i(E_a \cap \langle (F_{k-a})^c_V \rangle) = \widetilde{H}_{i-1}(\langle \{x_{(k-a-1)l+m+1-n}, \ldots, x_{(k-a)l+m-n}\} \rangle^c_{V''}).$$

In this case $\langle \{x_{(k-a-1)l+m+1-n}, \ldots, x_{(k-a)l+m-n}\} \rangle^c_{V''} = \emptyset$, and it follows that

$$\widetilde{H}_i(E_a \cap \langle (F_{k-a})^c_V \rangle) \cong \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } d = t-a-1, i = -1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(c) If $d > t-a-1$, then $A - C < 0$, $B - C = (k-a)l + m - n - [(k-t)l + 1] = (t-a)l + m - n - 1 = (t-a-1-d)l + s - 1 < 0$. We can apply Lemma 4.1, setting $V'' = V' \setminus \{x_{(k-t)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(k-a-1)l}\} = \{x_{(k-a-1)l+m+1-n}, \ldots, x_{(k-t)l}\}$, to obtain that

$$\widetilde{H}_i(E_a \cap \langle (F_{k-a})^c_V \rangle) = \widetilde{H}_{i-1}(\langle \{x_{(k-a-1)l+m+1-n}, \ldots, x_{(k-a)l+m-n}\} \rangle^c_{V''}).$$

In this case $\langle \{x_{(k-a-1)l+m+1-n}, \ldots, x_{(k-a)l+m-n}\} \rangle^c_{V''}$ is a simplex. Therefore, we can conclude that $\widetilde{H}_i(E_a \cap \langle (F_{k-a})^c_V \rangle) = 0$.

Now suppose that $p \geq 2$. In this case it is easy to see that $A < (t-a)l + 1$, $(k-t-a-2)l + 1$, $(k-t)l + 1$. Therefore, we can apply Lemma 4.1 (1) with $V'' = V' \setminus \{x_{(k-a-1)l+m+1-n}, \ldots, x_{(k-a)l+m-n}\} = \{x_{(k-a)l+m+1-n}, \ldots, x_{(k-a-1)l}\}$ to (4.6) to conclude that for all i

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{H}_i(E_a \cap \langle (F_{k-a})^c_V \rangle) \;=\; & \widetilde{H}_{i-1}(\langle (F_{t-a+1} \setminus \{x_{(t-a)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(k-a)l+m-n}\}), F_{t-a+2}, \ldots, \\ & F_{k-t-a-1}, \{x_{(k-t)l+1}, \ldots, x_{(k-a-1)l}\} \rangle^c_{V''}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $|V''| = [(p-1)(t+1)+d]l - s$. We can use Lemma 4.6 with values $f = s$, $b = t-a+1$, $c = t-a$, and $e = k-2t-1 = (p-2)(t+1)+d+1$ to conclude that

$$\widetilde{H}_i(E_a \cap \langle (F_{k-a})_V^c \rangle) = \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } d = 0, i = 2p-3; \\ K, & \text{if } d = t-a-1, i = 2p-2; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

□

We end this section with the calculation of the homology of the complement of the path complex of a cycle; this will give us the top degree Betti numbers of the path ideal of a cycle. The following are the main results of this section.

Theorem 4.8 *Let l, m, n, k, t be integers as in Proposition 4.3. Suppose that $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n) = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_k \rangle$ is the path complex of the cycle C_n on vertex set V with standard labeling. We can write k as $k = p(t+1)+d$, where $p \geq 0$, $0 \leq d \leq t$. Then for all i*

$$\widetilde{H}_i((\Delta_{m,l}(C_n))_V^c) = \begin{cases} K^t, & \textit{if } d = 0, i = 2p-2, p > 0; \\ K, & \textit{if } d \neq 0, i = 2p-1; \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By the previous assumptions that $m \leq n$, $t = \frac{m-s}{l}$ and $k = \frac{n}{l}$, we have that $t \leq k$. If $p = 0$, then $k = d \leq t$. This shows that $k = d = t$. In this situation $m = n$ and our claim is obvious, so we assume that $p \geq 1$, and therefore, $k \geq t+1$.

Considering the simplicial complexes in (4.5), we obtain that $(\Delta_{m,l}(C_n))_V^c = E_{t-1}$. First, one has

$$k-t+1 = p(t+1)+d-t+1 = \begin{cases} p(t+1)+1, & \text{if } d = t \\ p(t+1), & \text{if } d = t-1 \\ (p-1)(t+1)+d+2, & \text{if } d \leq t-2. \end{cases}$$

Using the fact that $E_0 = E(k-t+1)$ and Corollary 4.5, we obtain that

$$\widetilde{H}_i(E_0) = \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } d = 0, i = 2p-2 \\ K, & \text{if } d = t, i = 2p-1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.8}$$

To calculate the homologies of E_{t-1}, we shall repeatedly apply the Mayer-Vietoris sequence as follows. For a fixed $1 \leq a \leq t-1$, since $\langle (F_{k-a+1})_V^c \rangle$ is a simplex, we have $\widetilde{H}_i(\langle (F_{k-a+1})_V^c \rangle) = 0$ for all i. Hence there exists the following exact sequence:

$$\widetilde{H}_i(E_{a-1} \cap \langle (F_{k-a+1})_V^c \rangle) \to \widetilde{H}_i(E_{a-1}) \to \widetilde{H}_i(E_a) \to \widetilde{H}_{i-1}(E_{a-1} \cap \langle (F_{k-a+1})_V^c \rangle). \tag{4.9}$$

We distinguish the following cases:

(1) If $0 < d < t$, then by Lemma 4.7, we know that

$$\widetilde{H}_i(E_{a-1} \cap \langle (F_{k-a+1})_V^c \rangle) = \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } a = t-d, i = 2p-2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We apply this observation and (4.8) to the exact sequence (4.9) to see that for all i

$$\widetilde{H}_i(E_a) = \widetilde{H}_i(E_{a-1}) = \cdots = \widetilde{H}_i(E_0) = 0 \text{ for any } 1 \leq a \leq t-d-1.$$

Once again we use (4.9) to obtain that for all i

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{H}_i(E_a) &\cong \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 1 \leq a \leq t-d-1 \\ \widetilde{H}_{i-1}(E_{a-1} \cap \langle (F_{k-a+1})_V^c \rangle), & \text{if } a = t-d \\ \widetilde{H}_i(E_{t-d}), & \text{if } t-d < a \leq t-1 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } a \geq t-d, i = 2p-1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can conclude that in this case

$$\widetilde{H}_i(E_{t-1}) = \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } i = 2p-1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2) If $d = t$, then by Lemma 4.7 we know that $\widetilde{H}_i(E_{a-1} \cap \langle (F_{k-a+1})_V^c \rangle) = 0$ for all i and all $1 \leq a \leq t-1$. Applying this fact along with (4.8) to the sequence in (4.9), we see that for $1 \leq a \leq t-1$,

$$\widetilde{H}_i(E_a) \cong \widetilde{H}_i(E_0) = \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } i = 2p-1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(3) If $d = 0$, then by Lemma 4.7, we know that

$$\widetilde{H}_i(E_{a-1} \cap \langle (F_{k-a+1})_V^c \rangle) = \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } i = 2p-3 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, by (4.8), we know

$$\widetilde{H}_i(E_0) = \begin{cases} K, & \text{if } i = 2p-2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Applying these facts to (4.9), we see that

$$\widetilde{H}_i(E_a) = \widetilde{H}_i(E_{a-1}) = \cdots = \widetilde{H}_i(E_0) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq 2p-2.$$

When $i = 2p-2$, the sequence (4.9) produces an exact sequence

$$0 \to \widetilde{H}_{2p-2}(E_0) \to \widetilde{H}_{2p-2}(E_1) \to \widetilde{H}_{2p-3}(E_0 \cap \langle (F_k)_V^c \rangle) \to 0.$$

Since $\widetilde{H}_{2p-2}(E_0) = \widetilde{H}_{2p-3}(E_0 \cap \langle (F_k)_V^c \rangle) = K$, we have

$$\widetilde{H}_i(E_1) = \begin{cases} K^2, & \text{if } i = 2p-2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We repeat the above method, recursively, for values $a = 2, \ldots, t-1$

$$0 \to \widetilde{H}_{2p-2}(E_{a-1}) \to \widetilde{H}_{2p-2}(E_a) \to \widetilde{H}_{2p-3}(E_{a-1} \cap \langle (F_{k-a+1})_V^c \rangle) \to 0$$

and conclude that for $1 \leq a \leq t-1$

$$\widetilde{H}_i(E_a) = \begin{cases} K^{a+1}, & \text{if } i = 2p-2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We put this all together

$$\widetilde{H}_i(E_{t-1}) = \begin{cases} K^t, & \text{if } d = 0, i = 2p-2, p > 0 \\ K, & \text{if } d \neq 0, i = 2p-2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and this completes the proof. □

§5. Graded Betti numbers of path ideals

In this section, we compute the top degree Betti numbers of path ideals by applying the homological calculations from the previous section.

By Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, we can compute the Betti numbers of $I_{m,l}(C_n)$ of degree n.

Theorem 5.1 *Let l, m, n, k, t be integers as in Proposition 4.3. Suppose that C_n is an n-cycle graph. We write k as $k = p(t+1) + d$, where $p \geq 0$, $0 \leq d \leq t$. Then*

$$\beta_{i,n}(R/I_{m,l}(C_n)) = \begin{cases} t, & \textit{if } d = 0, i = 2p; \\ 1, & \textit{if } d \neq 0, i = 2p+1; \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, $\beta_{i,n}(R/I_{m,l}(C_n)) = dim_K \widetilde{H}_{i-2}((\Delta_{m,l}(C_n))^c_V)$, and the result now follows directly from Theorem 4.8. □

From Hochster's formula we see that computing Betti numbers of degree less than n comes down to counting induced subcollections of certain kinds.

Theorem 5.2 *Let l, m, n, k, t be integers as in Proposition 4.3. Suppose that $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n) = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_k \rangle$ is the path complex of the cycle C_n with standard labeling. Let Λ be an induced subcollection of $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n)$ and $I = \mathcal{I}(\Lambda)$ the facet ideal of Λ. Let $F_1, \ldots, F_\alpha$, $F_{\alpha+1}, \ldots, F_{\alpha+\beta}$ be all connected components of Λ with disjoint runs of lengths $p_1(t+1)+1, \ldots, p_\alpha(t+1)+1$, $q_1(t+1)+2, \ldots, q_\beta(t+1)+2$ where $\alpha, \beta, p_u, q_v \geq 0$ are integers. Assume that i and j are integers with $i \leq j < n$. Then the graded Betti number $\beta_{i,j}(R/I)$ is the number of subcollections of Λ satisfying the conditions $j = [(P+Q)(t+1)+\beta]l + m(\alpha+\beta)$, $i = 2(P+Q)+2\beta+\alpha$ where $P = \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} p_i$ and $Q = \sum_{j=1}^{\beta} q_j$.*

Proof. Since $\Delta(I) = \Lambda$, from Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\beta_{i,j}(R/I) = \sum_{\substack{\Gamma \subseteq \Lambda, \\ |V| = j}} dim_k \widetilde{H}_{i-2}(\Gamma^c_V).$$

where V is the vertex set of Γ and the sum is taken over induced subcollections Γ of $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n)$.

Each induced subcollection of Λ is clearly an induced subcollection of $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n)$ and can therefore be written as a disjoint union of runs. So from Proposition 4.3 (4), we can conclude the only Γ whose complements have nonzero homology are those composed of disjoint runs of lengths $p_1(t+1)+1, \ldots, p_\alpha(t+1)+1$, $q_1(t+1)+2, \ldots, q_\beta(t+1)+2$. Such subcollections have j vertices, where by Definition 3.6

$$\begin{aligned} (5.1) \quad j &= p_1(t+1)l + m + \cdots + p_\alpha(t+1)l + m \\ &+ (q_1(t+1)+1) + m + \cdots + (q_\beta(t+1)+1) + m \\ &= [(P+Q)(t+1)+\beta]l + m(\alpha+\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\Gamma^c_V = E(p_1(t+1)+1, \ldots, p_\alpha(t+1)+1, q_1(t+1)+2, \ldots, q_\beta(t+1)+2)$$

and by Proposition 4.4, we have

$$dim_K(\widetilde{H}_{i-2}(\Gamma_V^c)) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = 2(P+Q)+2\beta+\alpha \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{5.2}$$

From (5.1) and (5.2), we see that each induced subcollection Γ with runs of lengths $p_u(t+1)+1$ or $q_v(t+1)+2$ contributes 1 unit to $\beta_{i,j}(R/I)$ if and only if

$$j = [(P+Q)(t+1)+\beta]l + m(\alpha+\beta),\ i = 2(P+Q)+2\beta+\alpha.$$

□

Theorem 5.3 *Let $i, j, l, m, n, k, t, \alpha, \beta, P, Q$ be integers as in Theorem 4.8. Suppose that $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n) = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_k \rangle$ is the path complex of the cycle C_n with standard labeling. We can write k as $k = p(t+1)+d$ with $p \geq 0$, $0 \leq d \leq t$. Assume that i and j are integers with $i \leq j < n$. If $\beta_{i,j}(R/I_{m,l}(C_n)) \neq 0$, we have*

(1) $j \leq mi$;

(2) If $d = 0$, then $i < 2p$;

(3) If $d \neq 0$, then $i \leq 2p+1$;

(4) $j - i \leq \begin{cases} n-2p & \text{if } d = 0 \\ n-2p-2 & \text{if } d \neq 0. \end{cases}$

Proof. By [13, Theorem 3.3.4], we know that $\beta_{i,j}(R/I_{m,l}(C_n)) = 0$ for all $j > mi$. This settles Case (1). By Theorem 4.8, we know $\beta_{i,j}(R/I_{m,l}(C_n))$ is equal to the number of all subcollections of $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n)$, which are composed of disjoint runs of lengths $p_1(t+1)+1, \ldots, p_\alpha(t+1)+1$, $q_1(t+1)+2, \ldots, q_\beta(t+1)+2$ and these length satisfying the conditions $j = [(P+Q)(t+1)+\beta]l + m(\alpha+\beta)$, $i = 2(P+Q)+2\beta+\alpha$. Therefore, using assumption $m = tl + s$, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} j - li &= [(P+Q)(t+1)+\beta]l + m(\alpha+\beta) - l[2(P+Q)+2\beta+\alpha] \\ &= (P+Q)(t-1)l + (m-l)(\alpha+\beta) \\ &= [(P+Q)+(\alpha+\beta)](t-1)l + s(\alpha+\beta), \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} tli - j &= tl[2(P+Q)+2\beta+\alpha] - [(P+Q)(t+1)+\beta]l - m(\alpha+\beta) \\ &= (P+Q+\beta)(t-1)l + (tl-m)(\alpha+\beta) \\ &= (P+Q+\beta)(t-1)l - s(\alpha+\beta). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $j - li \geq tli - j$. It follows that

$$(t+1)il \leq 2j \ \Rightarrow\ i \leq \frac{2j}{(t+1)l} < \frac{2n}{(t+1)l}.$$

As $n = kl = [p(t+1)+d]l$, we can obtain that $i < \frac{2[p(t+1)+d]}{(t+1)}$. Therefore, if $d = 0$ it follows that $i < 2p$, and if $d \neq 0$ it follows that $i \leq 2p+1$. This settles Cases (2) and (3).

On the one hand, since $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n)$ has k facets and since there must be at least t facets between every two runs in $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n)$, we have

$$k \geq (P+Q)(t+1) + \alpha + 2\beta + t(\alpha+\beta),$$

it follows that $P+Q+\alpha+\beta \leq \frac{k-\beta}{t+1}$.

On the other hand, by assumption $m = tl+s$, $n = kl$ and $k = p(t+1)+d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} j-i &= [(P+Q)(t+1)+\beta]l + m(\alpha+\beta) - [2(P+Q)+2\beta+\alpha] \\ &= (P+Q+\alpha+\beta)[(t+1)l-2] + \beta l + \alpha + (s-l)(\alpha+\beta) \\ &\leq \frac{k-\beta}{t+1}(t+1)l - \frac{2(k-\beta)}{t+1} + \beta l + \alpha + (s-l)(\alpha+\beta) \\ &= kl - \frac{2(k-\beta)}{t+1} + \alpha + (s-l)(\alpha+\beta) \\ &= n - \frac{2k}{t+1} + \beta(\frac{2}{t+1} - 1) + [s-(l-1)](\alpha+\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Also note that $t \geq 2$ and $s \leq l-1$, we have

$$j - i \leq n - \frac{2k}{t+1} = n - \frac{2[p(t+1)+d]}{t+1} = n - 2p - \frac{2d}{t+1}.$$

The result follows. □

As a consequence of Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 5.3, we derive a formula for projective dimension and regularity of the path ideals of a cycle, which generalize the formula obtained in [1, Corollary 5.5]

Corollary 5.4 *Let l, m, n, k, t be integers as in Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.3. Suppose that $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n) = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_k \rangle$ is the path complex of the cycle C_n with standard labeling and $I_{m,l}(C_n) = \mathcal{I}(\Delta_{m,l}(C_n))$ is the facet ideal of $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n)$. We can write k as $k = p(t+1)+d$, where $p \geq 0$ and $0 \leq d \leq t$. Then*

(1) The projective dimension of $R/I_{m,l}(C_n)$ is given by

$$pd\,(R/I_{m,l}(C_n)) = \begin{cases} 2p, & \text{if } d = 0 \\ 2p+1, & \text{if } d \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

(2) The regularity of $R/I_{m,l}(C_n)$ is given by

$$reg\,(R/I_{m,l}(C_n)) = \begin{cases} n-2p, & \text{if } d = 0 \\ n-2p-1, & \text{if } d \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $t = 1$, then assertion is obvious from Theorem 4.2, so we prove assertion is true for $t \geq 2$.

(1) This follows from Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 5.1;

(2) By definition, $reg\,(R/I_{m,l}(C_n)) = \max\{j - i \mid \beta_{i,j} \neq 0\}$. By Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.3 and the observation above, we have

$$reg\,(R/I_{m,l}(C_n)) = \begin{cases} n - 2p, & \text{if } d = 0 \\ n - 2p - 1, & \text{if } d \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

□

Corollary 5.5 *Let l, m, n, k, t be integers as in Proposition 4.3. Suppose that $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n) = \langle F_1, \ldots, F_k \rangle$ is the path complex of the cycle C_n with standard labeling and $I_{m,l}(C_n) = \mathcal{I}(\Delta_{m,l}(C_n))$ is the facet ideal of $\Delta_{m,l}(C_n)$. Then*

$$depth\,(R/I_{m,l}(C_n)) = reg\,(R/I_{m,l}(C_n)).$$

Proof. By Auslander-Buchsbaum formula, we get that

$$depth\,(R/I_{m,l}(C_n)) = n - pd\,(R/I_{m,l}(C_n)).$$

It follows from Theorem 5.3. □

Acknowledgments

I did this work during my stay at Department of Mathematics of University Duisburg-Essen, Germany. I would like to thank Professor Jürgen Herzog for effective discussions. I spent a memorable time at Essen, so I would like to express my hearty thanks to Maja for hospitality. I also wish to thank the hospitality of Department of Mathematics of University Duisburg-Essen, Germany.

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11271275) and by Foundation of Jiangsu Overseas Research & Training Program for University Prominent Young & Middle-aged Teachers and Presidents and by Foundation of the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

References

[1] A. Alilooee and S. Faridi, On the Resolution of Path Ideals of Cycles, *Comm. Algebra*, 43 (2015), 5413-5433.

[2] A. Alilooee and S. Faridi, Betti numbers of path ideals of cycles and lines, arXiv: math.Ac/1110.6653v2.

[3] R. R. Bouchat, H. T. Hà, and A. O'Keefe. Path ideals of rooted trees and their graded Betti numbers, *J. Comb. Theory*, Ser. A, 118 (8), (2011), 2411-2425.

[4] P. Brumatti and A. F. D. Silva, On the symmetric and Rees algebras of (n, k)-cyclic ideals, 16th School of Algebra, Part II (Portuguese) (Braslia, 2000). Mat. Contemp. 21 (2001), 27-42.

[5] CoCoATeam Cocoa: A system for doing computations in commutative algebra, (2009). Available at http://cocoa.dima.unige.it.

[6] A. Conca and E. De Negri, M-Sequences, graph ideals and ladder ideals of linear type, *J. Algebra*, 211 (1999), 599-624.

[7] S. Faridi, Simplicial trees are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 190 (2003), 121-136.

[8] S. Faridi, The facet ideal of a simplicial complex, *Manuscripta Math.*, 109 (2002),159-174.

[9] D. R. Grayson and M. E. Stillman, Macaulay 2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry, (2010).

[10] H. T. Hà and A. Van Tuyl, Monomial ideals, edge ideals of hypergraphs, and their graded Betti numbers, *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 27 (2), (2008), 215-245.

[11] Jing He and A. Van Tuyl, Algebraic properties of the path ideal of a tree, *Comm. Algebra*, 38 (5), (2010), 1725-1742.

[12] J. Herzog and T. Hibi *Monomial Ideals*, New York, NY, USA: Springer-Verlag, 2011.

[13] S. Jacques, Betti numbers of graph ideals. PhD dissertation, University of Sheffield, 2004.

[14] J. R. Munkres, *Elements of Algebraic Topology*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1984.

[15] G. Restuccia and R. Villarreal, On the normality of monomial ideals of mixed products, *Comm. Algebra*, 29 (2001),3571-3580.

[16] R. H. Villarreal, Cohen-Macaulay graphs, *Manuscripta Math.*, 66 (1990), 277-293.

[17] Guangjun Zhu, Projective dimension and the regularity of the path ideals of the line graph, *submitted*.

Guangjun Zhu, School of Mathematic Science,Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, China

E-mail: zhuguangjun@suda.edu.cn